

Application No. 09/762,765  
Amdt. dated 07-15-05  
Reply to Office Action of 04-28-05

8

### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Examiner objected to claim 7 because of informality. The word "of" has been added to line 1 of claim 7.

The Examiner rejected claims 6 and 7 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The Examiner noted that claim 6 refers to "said silane polymer" whereas claim 21 refer to a silicone polymer. Claim 6 has been amended to replace the term "said silane polymer" by the term "said silicone polymer".

It is submitted that the claims 6 and 7 can no longer be considered to be indefinite and hence the rejection thereof under 35 U.S.C., second paragraph, should be withdrawn.

The Examiner withdrew the previously indicated allowability of claim 19 and rejected claim 19 under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over U.S. 6,084,030 to Janssen. Claim 19 has been cancelled, thereby obviating the rejection.

The indicated allowance of claim 2 to 15, 10 to 15, 18 and 21 to 29 is gratefully acknowledged.

The Examiner indicated that claims 6 and 7 would be allowable if amended or rewritten to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 and the objection (re claim 7) set forth in the Office Action.


As recited above, it is believed that the reasons made to the claims overcome the remaining issues raised in the Office Action. It is submitted that claims 6 and 7 are now in an allowable form.

Application No. 09/762,765  
Amtd. dated 07-15-05  
Reply to Office Action of 04-28-05

9

It is believed that this application is now in condition for allowance and early and favourable consideration and allowance are respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael I. Stewart  
Reg. No. 24,973

Toronto, Ontario, Canada,  
(416) 595-1155  
FAX No. (416) 595-1163